From: Scheibe, Mark To: Hamayasu, Toru 12/4/2006 3:08:36 PM Sent: Subject: FW: Honolulu ridership AAriders2.pdf; image002.gif; image004.jpg Attachments: Redacted From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov] Sent: Monday, December 04, 2006 2:59 PM To: Davidson, William A.; Scheibe, Mark Subject: FW: Honolulu ridership Well, here's the obvious-it-was-coming message from Cliff Slater regarding ridership forecasts for the No-Build alternative. I'm looking forward even more than before to the analysis of the No-Build forecast and the insights supporting its reasonableness. **From:** Cliff Slater [mailto:cslater@lava.net] Sent: Mon 12/4/2006 1:55 PM To: Ryan, James <FTA> Subject: Honolulu ridership December 4, 2006. Jim: I met vou a few years ago in Ron Fisher's office and we talked briefly about Honolulu and travel forecasting problems. Honolulu is now in the Alternatives Analysis stage for a \$5.5 billion (PB's number) 28-mile heavy rail line. The City Council is to pick the LPA by the end of this month. I have submitted our criticism of the ridership forecasting which appears to have the same erroneous assumption that ridership grows with population that we discussed at the time we met. I have attached our comments on the forecasting. I would appreciate your thoughts on it if you are able to do so. Thanks, Cliff

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.